

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

KERRY CONLEY,

Petitioner,

v.

A. P. KANE,

Respondent.

CASE NO. C05-03588 JSW

**[PROPOSED] ORDER
GRANTING RESPONDENT'S
REQUEST FOR AN
EXTENSION OF TIME TO
FILE A RESPONSE TO THE
PETITION FOR WRIT OF
HABEAS CORPUS**


Respondent has requested an extension of time, through and including June 16, 2006, to file a responsive pleading to the habeas corpus petition. The Court has read and considered the request, including Petitioner's attorney's agreement to the requested extension, and good cause appearing:

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Respondent's request for extension of time is granted.

Respondent may file and serve on Petitioner, by June 16, 2006, an answer conforming in all respects to Rule 5 of the Rules Governing Section 2254 Cases, showing cause why a writ of habeas corpus should not be issued.

If Petitioner wishes to respond to the answer, he shall do so by filing a traverse with the Court and serving it on Respondent within 30 days of his receipt of the answer.

Dated: May 30, 2006


Jeffrey S. White
United States District Judge

[Proposed] Order.wpd

~~[Proposed]~~ Order

Conley v. Kane
Case No. C05-03588 JSW